

*Short notes and reviews***Contributions to Zoology, the Journal - diversity in research topics and changes over the last 27 years**Ronald Vonk<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Nijman<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup> *Zoological Museum of the University of Amsterdam/Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, PO Box 94766, 1090 GT Amsterdam, The Netherlands*<sup>2</sup> *Department of Anthropology and Geography, School of Social Science and Law, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford OX3 0BP, UK*

Key words: bibliographic analysis, biological research trends

**Abstract**

We provide a brief overview of the history of the journal *Contributions to Zoology* and analyse the papers published in the last 27 years by topic. Founded in 1848 as *Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde*, 160 years and 76 volumes later it is one of the oldest zoological journals that is still regularly printed. Over the last decades most papers dealt with invertebrates (60%), followed by vertebrates (23%), insects (10%) and non-taxonomic papers. *Contributions to Zoology* has seen a change from a largely alpha taxonomic journal to one that is truly general in scope. Systematic Biology and Comparative Morphology of both extant and extinct taxa nowadays make up about half of the papers published. Ethology as a research subject has been gradually phased out, and judged by the number of papers published, Conservation Biology has seen its coming of age of as a mainstream biological science. With contributors from 36 countries, of which 40% from outside Europe, *Contributions to Zoology* is a truly international journal, for research and researchers from various parts of the world.

The journal *Contributions to Zoology* started in 1848 under the name *Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde* and adopted its current English name to be the leading title in 1995. Nearly 160 years and 76 volumes later it is one of the oldest zoological journals that is still regularly printed. In the past some volumes spanned multiple

years and there have been periods, such as during the great wars, when publication of the journal was halted. Founded by the Royal Zoological Society 'Artis Natura Magistra', and later integrated in the University of Amsterdam in 1939, the journal is now published by the National Natural History Museum Naturalis in Leiden and the Zoological Museum of the University of Amsterdam in a joint venture. In this modest bibliographic study we analyse what has been published in *Contributions to Zoology* in the last 27 years and we assess which major taxonomic groups were studied, who conducted the research, and what the research topics have been.

For analysis we looked for some natural divides in the time period and grouped the 27 years into 4 periods bounded by changing editor-ship, i.e. 1981-1990 (J.H. Stock), 1991-1995 (S. van der Spoel), 1996-2002 (F.R. Schram), and 2003-2007 (R.W.M. van Soest and R. Vonk). All editors were associated with the Zoological Museum Amsterdam, and their research focused mainly on (fossil or extant) aquatic invertebrates.

Table 1 shows that although the journal has attracted papers from a wide variety of taxa, perhaps in line with the research interests of the editors, there is a

Table 1. Breakdown of papers published from 1981-2007 according to taxon studied. Presented are, respectively: total number of papers, (yearly average), percentage.

	2004-2007	1996-2003	1991-1995	1981-1990
Vertebrates	18 (4.5) 32.1	20 (2.9) 23.4	10 (2.0) 14.3	42 (4.2) 22.2
Invertebrates	31 (7.8) 53.3	62 (8.9) 62.6	53 (10.6) 64.6	111 (11.1) 61.4
Insects	5 (1.3) 9.2	4 (0.6) 3.7	14 (2.8) 17.3	36 (3.6) 11.6
Other (no taxa / across taxa)	3 (0.75) 5.4	11 (1.6) 10.2	3 (0.6) 3.8	11 (1.1) 4.9

Table 2. Breakdown of papers according to subject matter. Presented are, respectively: total number of papers, (yearly average), percentage.

	2007-2004	2002-1996	1995-1991	1990-1981
Alpha taxonomy	3 (0.8) 4.2	11 (1.6) 12.3	43 (8.6) 51.8	87 (8.7) 43.6
Systematics	23 (5.8) 41.7	30 (4.3) 35.1	21 (4.2) 27.6	36 (3.6) 17.3
Comparative morphology	9 (2.3) 15.4	18 (2.6) 14.4	3 (0.6) 4.5	28 (2.8) 12.4
Ecology	5 (1.3) 7.9	11 (1.6) 8.9	5 (1.0) 5.7	24 (2.4) 13.5
Ethology	0 (0) 0	3 (0.4) 4.4	2 (0.4) 3.7	15 (1.5) 8.9
Palaeontology	3 (0.8) 5.4	8 (1.1) 8.2	0 (0) 0	2 (0.2) 0.6
Conservation biology	4 (1.0) 8.3	1 (0.1) 1.0	1 (0.2) 1.7	0 (0) 0
Other	10 (2.5) 17.1	15 (2.1) 15.7	5 (1.0) 5.1	8 (0.8) 3.6
Total	57 (14.3)	97 (13.9)	80 (16.0)	200 (20.0)

strong tendency for papers to be published on invertebrates. In the past at least six out of ten papers accepted for publication dealt with invertebrates, whereas in the last few years more and more papers on vertebrates get published. Despite the large number of insect species in the world, entomologists have rarely found their way to *Contributions to Zoology*, and probably seek out the specialised journals.

How much there still is to discover about animal diversity (Magurran, 2003) becomes apparent when taking into account the number of new species that have been described in this journal alone. In almost every issue one or more new species, and higher taxa, are described, and considering the papers by Karasawa & Schweitzer in 2006 (fossil crabs), or Poeser and colleagues in 2005 (guppies), this does not only refer to little-studied or cryptic taxa.

Significant contributions in the past related to the theory of phylogenetic methods were made by Mooers and Schluter (1998), Lee (2001), Jenner (2002), and Koenemann and Schram (2002), whereas the phylogenetic relationship in triclads, polychaetes, Anomura, amphipods and xanthoid crabs were reported upon among others by Sluys (1989), Rota *et al.* (2001), Tudge (1997), Vonk & Schram (2003) and Karasawa & Schweitzer (2006), respectively.

Ecology and ethology are minor subject areas in *Contributions to Zoology*, but papers by De Voogd *et al.* (2005), and De Iongh *et al.* (1997), highlight the importance of this research area. Palaeontology was an important subject area, especially during the tenure of F.R. Schram as Editor-in Chief. His research on fossils and decapod phylogeny (Schram and Dixon, 2003; Schram, 1986) demonstrated the feasibility of treating fossils as full and equal partners in the study of decapod phylogenetic relationships and that rigorous cladistic methods could be used to evaluate the phyloge-

netic positions of fossils. After the superannuation of Schram, *Contributions to Zoology* remained an important outlet for palaeontologist to publish their work, as demonstrated by van Weers' (2005) taxonomic revision of fossil porcupines.

Conservation biology as a subject found its way relatively early to the journal with diverse topics, such as changes in the amphipod fauna in The Netherlands (Pinkster *et al.* 1992), butterfly communities on Sardinia (Grill *et al.* 2004), changes in an intertidal community structure after a mass mortality event (Dadon, 2005), to the role zoos can play in the conservation of threatened taxa (Nijman, 2006; Gippoliti and Meijaard, 2007), and has increasingly gained importance. The paper by Nekaris and Jaffe (2007), in the preceding issue, illustrates the importance of taxonomy in conservation biology, as without proper knowledge on how many taxa there are, conservation efforts such as reintroduction programmes may turn out to be counter-productive.

Table 2 shows that over time *Contributions to Zoology* has changed from a largely alpha taxonomic journal to one that is, again, truly general in scope. Systematic biology (including topics such as biogeography, evolutionary biology, phylogenetics, and phylogeography) and comparative morphology nowadays make up about half of the papers published, and a strong trend is that more and more papers are accepted on other topics as well. We feel that the shift from publishing largely descriptive papers, such as those reporting on new species without added analysis on the phylogeny, zoogeography, or evolutionary significance, to publishing more analytical papers is one that is reflective of the way science in zoology has progressed. The breakdown of papers according to subject matter also highlights the phasing out of Ethology or Animal Behaviour as a subject area, and sees the coming of age of Conserva-

tion Biology as a mainstream biological science (cf. Meffe *et al.*, 2006). The subject is now widely taught at universities, with its books and journals filling entire shelves in libraries, and with its controversial predictions fuelling the public debate.

*Contributions to Zoology* offers the possibility, at least occasionally, to publish lengthy review papers or lengthy original contributions. Papers regularly exceed 25 printed pages and R.A. Jenner's 161 page monumental paper on evaluating phylogenies of Metazoa (Jenner, 2004) is one that will most likely not be surpassed soon. The long-standing goal to be a truly international journal is upheld – in the last 25 years the journal has had contributors from 36 countries and almost 40% of the contributors reside in countries outside Europe.

## References

- Dadon JR. 2005. Changes in the intertidal community structure after a mass mortality event in sandy beaches of Argentina. *Contributions to Zoology* 74: 27-39.
- De Jongh HH, Bierhuizen B, Van Orden B. 1997. Observations on the behaviour of the *Dugong* (*Dugong dugon* Müller, 1776) from waters of the Lease Islands, eastern Indonesia. *Contributions to Zoology* 67: 71-77.
- De Voogd NJ, Hafika JJH, Hoeksema BW. 2005. Evaluation of the ecological function of amphitoxin in the reef-dwelling sponge *Callyspongia* (*Euplacella*) *biru* (Haplosclerida: Callyspongiidae) at southwest Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Contributions to Zoology* 74: 51-59.
- Gippoliti S, Meijaard E. 2007. Taxonomic uniqueness of the Javan Leopard; an opportunity for zoos to save it. *Contributions to Zoology* 76: 55-58.
- Grill A, De Vos R, Van Arkel J. 2004. The shape of endemics: Notes on male and female genitalia in the genus *Maniola* (Schrank, 1801), (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). *Contributions to Zoology* 73: 293-303.
- Jenner RA. 2002. Boolean logic and character state identity: pitfalls of character coding in metazoan cladistics. *Contributions to Zoology* 71: 67-91.
- Jenner RA. 2004. Towards a phylogeny of the Metazoa: evaluating alternative phylogenetic positions of Platyhelminthes, Nemertea, and Gnathostomulida, with a critical reappraisal of cladistic characters. *Contributions to Zoology* 73: 3-163.
- Karasawa H, Schweitzer CE. 2006. A new classification of the Xanthoidea *sensu lato* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura) based on phylogenetic analysis and traditional systematics and evaluation of all fossil Xanthoidea *sensu lato*. *Contributions to Zoology* 75: 23-73.
- Koenemann S, Schram FR. 2002. The limitations of ontogenetic data in phylogenetic analyses. *Contributions to Zoology* 71: 47-56.
- Lee MSY. 2001. Molecules, morphology, and the monophyly of diapsid reptiles. *Contributions to Zoology* 70: 1-22.
- Magurran A. 2003. *Measuring biological diversity*. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Meffe GK, Ehrenfeld D, Noss RF. 2006. Conservation biology at twenty. *Conservation Biology* 20: 595-596.
- Mooers AØ, Schluter D. 1998. Fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenies: an example using vertebrate body sizes. *Contributions to Zoology* 68: 3-18.
- Nekaris KA, Jaffe S. 2007. Unexpected diversity within the Javan slow loris trade: implications for slow loris taxonomy. *Contributions to Zoology* 76: 187-196.
- Nijman V. 2006. In-Situ and Ex-Situ status of the Javan Gibbon and the role of zoos in conservation of the species. *Contributions to Zoology* 75: 161-168.
- Pinkster S, Scheepmaker M, Platvoet D, Broodbakker N. 1992. Drastic changes in the amphipod fauna (Crustacea) of Dutch inland waters during the last 25 years. *Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde* 61: 193-204.
- Poeser FN, Kempkes M, Isbrücker IJH. 2005. Description of *Poecilia* (*Acanthophaelus*) *wingei* n. sp. from the Paria Peninsula, Venezuela, including notes on *Acanthophaelus* Eigenmann, 1907 and other subgenera of *Poecilia* Bloch and Schneider, 1801 (Teleostei, Cyprinodontiformes, Poeciliidae). *Contributions to Zoology* 74: 97-115.
- Rota E, Martin P, Erséus C. 2001. Soil-dwelling polychaetes: enigmatic as ever? Some hints on their phylogenetic relationships as suggested by a maximum parsimony analysis of 18S rRNA gene sequences. *Contributions to Zoology* 70: 127-138.
- Schram FR. 1986. *Crustacea*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Schram FR, Dixon C. 2003. Fossils and decapod phylogeny. *Contributions to Zoology* 72: 169-172.
- Sluys R. 1989. *A Monograph of the Marine Triclad*. A.A. Balke, Rotterdam and Brookfield.
- Tudge CC. 1997. Phylogeny of the Anomura (Decapoda, Crustacea): Spermatozoa and spermatophore morphological evidence. *Contributions to Zoology* 67: 125-141.
- Vonk R, Schram FR. 2003. Ingolfiellidea (Crustacea, Malacostraca, Amphipoda): a phylogenetic and biogeographic analysis. *Contributions to Zoology* 72: 39-72.
- Van Weers DJ. 2005. A taxonomic revision of the Pleistocene *Hystrix* (Hystricidae, Rodentia) from Eurasia with notes on the evolution of the family. *Contributions to Zoology* 74: 301-312.

Received: 24 September 2007.

Accepted: 15 October 2007.

